

THE FEDERALIST SOCIETY

Presents the

2003 NATIONAL LAWYERS CONVENTION

ADDRESS BY

PAULA DOBRIANSKY

Under Secretary for Global Affairs,

U.S. Department of State

Saturday, November 15, 2003

FEDERALIST SOCIETY**Address by Paula Dobriansky**

MR. BAKER: I'm Stewart Baker. It's really a great pleasure to introduce Paula Dobriansky. She is the Under Secretary for Global Affairs at the State Department. She's been a scholar and a spokesman on international affairs for many years here in Washington at the Council of Foreign Relations as a Human Rights Deputy Assistant Secretary.

I have to say that the achievement that I personally most admire her for was for handling -- when she had just come into the office -- the World Summit on Sustainable Development. Now, the World Summit was one of those grand gatherings of nations and NGOs that we've seen so much of in the last 20 years, which are mainly convened to determine that the United States is the source of most of the ills of the world and to so resolve and go home. And we had gotten used to being beaten up badly in these international conventions.

Paula came in and said, "You know, we

1 don't always have to lose." She worked very hard
2 on that sustainable development conference. And I
3 just want to read to you from the *Washington Post's*
4 summary of the results of World Summit. "With the
5 Summit drawing to a close today, Brandon McGillis
6 began taking stock of how well the environmental
7 groups had fared. 'If you were taking score,' said
8 the spokesman for the National Environmental Trust,
9 'you would have to say the U.S. got just about
10 everything they wanted. The environmental lobby is
11 extremely disappointed. The Bush Administration
12 won this ballgame 44-0.' His assessment of the
13 ten-day conference typified the mixture of dismay
14 and awe with which many environmentalists, relief
15 organizations, and foreign diplomats view the
16 U.S.'s ability to prevail upon negotiators from
17 more than 170 countries at the conference."

18 So, with considerable awe and not a bit
19 of dismay, I give you Paula Dobriansky.

20 UNDERSECRETARY DOBRIANSKY: Thank you,
21 Stewart, for that very kind introduction. It's an
22 honor to be here today. I want especially to pay

1 | tribute to the Federalist Society and the ideas for
2 | which you stand. In the 21 years since the Society
3 | was founded, you have fought for the principle that
4 | the Constitution actually means what it says and
5 | what its framers intended, that laws should be
6 | written by Congress and state legislatures rather
7 | than judges, and that the state exists first and
8 | foremost to preserve freedom. I commend you for
9 | your efforts over the years and for holding this
10 | Convention on the important topic of U.S. national
11 | sovereignty and the way it is affected by our
12 | interaction with the world.

13 | Our sovereignty is the basis of our
14 | freedom, and it is commendable that organizations
15 | like the Federalist Society stand ready to defend
16 | this pillar of our republic. As George Washington
17 | wrote to Alexander Hamilton, "If we are told by a
18 | foreign power what we shall do and what we shall
19 | not do, we have independence yet to seek and have
20 | contended hitherto for very little."

21 | The United States is engaged in the world
22 | now more than ever before in our history, and we

1 must be mindful that our sovereignty rests always
2 with the American people and never with a foreign
3 government or international organization. As we
4 have done at pivotal times throughout our history,
5 over the last few years, the United States has been
6 taking stock of the role that we play in the world.
7 Similar periods of adjustment took place after the
8 First and Second World Wars, and the current effort
9 stems from the advent of the War on Terror, as well
10 as other historical changes since the end of the
11 Cold War, such as the dawn of the information age
12 and globalization.

13 Part of this introspection also involves
14 an evaluation of some of the tools that we use to
15 conduct our foreign relations, such as
16 international treaties and agreements, as well as
17 membership in international organizations. At
18 times, these activities may require the United
19 States to collaborate with multilateral
20 organizations and even be bound by decisions made
21 by others. This understandably gives rise to the
22 concern that America's sovereignty is being

1 | undermined and that foreign interests that do not
2 | necessarily share our values will gain influence
3 | and power over us. My view is that this challenge
4 | can be managed. We can fully participate in the
5 | globalizing world and enter into treaties and
6 | international organizations while still preserving
7 | our traditional sovereignty. The key to this is a
8 | foreign policy focused on promoting our national
9 | interests and joining international efforts when
10 | there is a clear, well thought out reason to do so.

11 | The trend driving many of the changes
12 | with which we are grappling is globalization. The
13 | term is often used, but I believe it can be
14 | generally defined as the increasingly free flow of
15 | ideas, information, goods, capital, and people
16 | across borders and around the globe. Overall, this
17 | is a positive development. It entails more freedom
18 | and opportunity for people in every country.
19 | Nations have economic strengths and weaknesses, and
20 | globalization allows them to focus more on what
21 | they do best. As globalization progresses,
22 | countries become more interdependent and must work

1 together on issues that might have been previously
2 been viewed as domestic in nature. This is,
3 partly, why the position of the Undersecretary of
4 State for Global Affairs was created: to handle our
5 foreign relations to the extent they transcend
6 borders and traditional bilateral relationships,
7 which is increasingly common.

8 My office deals directly with many of the
9 issues related to globalization. They range from
10 migration, refugees, narcotics trafficking, human
11 rights, Democracy, health, the environment, and
12 trafficking in persons, among other issues. It is
13 important to realize that there is not a spectrum
14 with globalization on one end and national
15 sovereignty on the other. Reaping the benefits of
16 globalization does not necessarily mean countries
17 must lose the ability to determine their own
18 destinies. From the point of view of the nation-
19 state, globalization means that countries compete
20 more and that capital and people can vote with
21 their feet and cross borders to find the most
22 suitable locale. It does not mean the end of the

1 nation-state or replacement of sovereign national
2 governments.

3 Conversely, resistance to globalization
4 does not translate into a surefire method of
5 preserving a sovereign and independent nation-
6 state. In fact, the opposite is often true. If
7 you identify the parts of the world where
8 governments are actively resisting the forces of
9 globalization and compare that to where military
10 coalitions and peacekeepers have been engaged in
11 the past 15 years, you will find a surprisingly
12 strong correlation. Participating in
13 globalization, by definition, entails a degree of
14 freedom, government transparency, and rule of law.
15 Since those are typical prerequisites to trade and
16 lawful profit making. Countries that resist this
17 can boast that they have a pure form of national
18 sovereignty and do not have to countenance the
19 advice of foreigners. But in reality, they do not
20 have true sovereignty, which is to say they are not
21 autonomous, nor do they have complete and credible
22 control over their destinies. Take, for example,

1 | the situation on the Korean peninsula. There, you
2 | have one country, South Korea, which is one of the
3 | world's greatest export-based and high-tech
4 | economies, and at once both embodies the
5 | information age and globalization. Then, there is
6 | North Korea, one of the world's most closed and
7 | repressive nations that has elected, through its
8 | conduct, to be completely estranged from the
9 | international community. Which one of these
10 | nations has more real sovereignty? Which one has
11 | in place a polity that can identify and defend the
12 | interests of its people and see that they are
13 | addressed without foreign assistance or
14 | involvement?

15 | What you have is the case where, in one
16 | nation, the people are sovereign, and in the other,
17 | their rightful sovereignty has been usurped by an
18 | unelected government. One is free and democratic
19 | and the other is not. It is the policy of the Bush
20 | Administration to promote democracy around the
21 | world, and in doing so, we are actually empowering
22 | the nation-state. We are helping free and

1 democratic nations to secure for themselves the
2 tools to effectively govern. This is what I mean
3 by real sovereignty. It is where the people
4 themselves are sovereign, as they are in the United
5 States and as they ought to be everywhere.

6 In a speech last week, at the 20th
7 Anniversary for the National Endowment for
8 Democracy, President Bush touched on this very
9 issue. He said, "Historians in the future will
10 reflect on an extraordinary, undeniable fact. Over
11 time, free nations grow stronger and dictatorships
12 grow weaker." The promotion of democracy is a
13 major component of the Bush Administration's
14 foreign policy, one we enshrined in our national
15 security strategy last year. That document plainly
16 states, "America must stand firmly for the non-
17 negotiable demands of human dignity, the rule of
18 law, limits on the absolute power of the state,
19 free speech, freedom of worship, equal justice,
20 respect for women, religious and ethnic tolerance,
21 and respect for private property." Our foreign
22 policy defends U.S. interests and bolsters the

1 nation-state as a sovereign entity.

2 This Administration has joined other free
3 democratic countries in forming what is known as
4 the Community of Democracies. The Community is a
5 group of nations at different stages of development
6 with different cultures that recognize democracy as
7 the best form of government, to provide for the
8 needs of its citizens, to foster economic growth,
9 and establish domestic stability. The Community
10 brings democracies together to address emerging
11 threats, identify and exchange best practices, and
12 undertake initiatives to bolster representative
13 government. It is a simple and effective tool to
14 achieve our goal of promoting democracy, and it
15 does not diminish American sovereignty in any way.

16 When it comes to international
17 organizations where the United States is already a
18 member, we seek to make these entities as efficient
19 as possible. That is one reason we are working
20 with other countries to create democracy caucus in
21 the United Nations. The objective of the Community
22 of Democracies is not simply to convene meetings

1 but to increase the coordination among democracies
2 to help advance democratic principles. The United
3 Nations is a natural forum for those principles to
4 be reaffirmed. A democracy caucus will both
5 strengthen the United Nations and bolster the
6 common bonds and values which tie democracies
7 together.

8 In his National Endowment for Democracy
9 speech on freedom and democracy, President Bush
10 also noted that, "In the early 1970s, there were
11 about 40 democracies. As the 20th Century ended,
12 there were around 120 democracies around the world,
13 and I can assure you that more are on the way."
14 Democracy has been on the march and we intend to
15 continue this trend. By doing so, we are helping
16 people around the world to achieve the freedom that
17 is their birthright, but we are also securing our
18 own interests, which buttresses our sovereignty and
19 that of other free and peaceful nations.

20 Another way in which this Administration
21 is defending American sovereignty is by making sure
22 that when we do sign treaties or join international

1 | bodies, we do so for good reasons that clearly
2 | serve our international interests. We do not sign
3 | treaties simply because they happen to be in vogue.
4 | We seek efficient and effective solutions to the
5 | problems we confront, and we will not accede to
6 | initiatives that are contrary to our interests and
7 | that harm our sovereignty.

8 | This approach is embodied in our policy
9 | regarding the challenge of global climate change
10 | and our rejection of the Kyoto Protocol. There are
11 | many flaws to Kyoto, but one of the more troubling
12 | is that parties that have no real obligations under
13 | the treaty would be allowed to participate in the
14 | enforcement mechanism. This is quite unusual for a
15 | treaty and clearly has troubling ramifications as a
16 | precedent for future agreements, even in other
17 | areas.

18 | The Protocol would also have taken a
19 | severe economic toll on America, and its timelines
20 | and emission caps are arbitrary, not based on
21 | science. Kyoto is furthermore flawed in that it
22 | excludes major parts of the world and would be

1 | ineffective in achieving its stated goal. Excluded
2 | from the obligations of Kyoto are all developing
3 | nations, including China and India. Both of these
4 | nations are expected to undergo rapid
5 | industrialization and they will eventually be the
6 | largest emitters of greenhouse gasses. A treaty
7 | that purports to address the challenge of global
8 | climate change, yet exclude these nations, cannot
9 | be effective.

10 | Rather than accept this treaty, which
11 | would have diminished our sovereignty and inflicted
12 | harm on the United States while failing to achieve
13 | its goal, we have taken a different approach. We
14 | have focused, first and foremost, on improved
15 | scientific research and expended considerable
16 | resources for research and development of new
17 | technologies that offer the promise of providing
18 | cheap and clean energy for the world's growing
19 | needs. This embodies our approach to other
20 | international agreements and organizations as well.
21 | We have actively engaged the world on any number of
22 | issues and have no compunction about accepting

1 international obligations. However, we will only
2 do so when it clearly serves the interests of the
3 United States and when there is a reasonable
4 expectation that the initiative is effective and
5 efficient. We do this because we value America's
6 sovereignty, just as we value our security.

7 Globalization is changing the ways
8 nations interact with each other. Before long, it
9 will be clear that America's role in the world has
10 evolved, just as it has throughout other periods of
11 major historical change. If managed properly,
12 globalization offers the promise of great benefits
13 for nations around the world, including the United
14 States. The movement encompasses many good trends,
15 such as freer trade, the proliferation of
16 democracy, and the international flow of ideas,
17 capital, and people. However, it will create a
18 world that is more interdependent and where nations
19 must collaborate more closely with each other. In
20 our interactions with other nations and
21 multilateral bodies, it is necessary that America's
22 independence be kept in mind. Our conduct in

1 | foreign policy must never be cavalier, and we must
2 | ensure that actions we take serve our national
3 | interests and preserve our sovereignty.

4 | MR. BAKER: Thank you. I'm delighted to
5 | have been here this afternoon and really appreciate
6 | the invitation.

7 | MS. DOBRIANSKY: Thank you. My apologies
8 | for having to leave. As I mentioned to Stewart, we
9 | have a delegation, and I appreciate having the
10 | invitation and to share my thoughts with you.

11 | (End of address.)